

 Industry Assessments

 Contact:
 Patrick Copas

 Phone:
 (02) 9274 6273

 Email:
 patrick.copas@planning.nsw.gov.au

18 January 2018

Mr Graeme Faulkner General Manager Lithgow City Council PO Box 19 LITHGOW NSW 2790 EF17/14698 SEAR 1194

Dear Mr Faulkner

Waste Management Facility (Asbestos Repository) Wolgan Road, Lidsdale (Lot 5 in DP 829137) Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEAR) 1194

For your information, I have attached a copy of the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the above proposal, which have been provided to the Applicant.

If a development application (DA) and EIS are subsequently lodged with Council, please forward one electronic copy to the Director, Industry Assessments, Department of Planning and Environment, prior to the commencement of the public exhibition period. This will allow the Department to exhibit the document in its head office concurrently with Council's exhibition.

Following the exhibition period, Council must send the Department a copy of all the submissions it has received, in accordance with Clause 81 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000*. If the Department does not respond within 21 days, Council may proceed to determine the application.

In addition, it would be appreciated if Council would forward the Department a copy of the determination of the DA.

Should you have any enquiries, please contact me on the details above.

Yours sincerely

Patrick Copas Planning Officer Industry Assessments



 Industry Assessments

 Contact:
 Patrick Copas

 Phone:
 (02) 9274 6273

 Email:
 patrick.copas@planning.nsw.gov.au

Mr Steven Crick Associate – Environment and Planning Aurecon Australia 23 Warabrook Boulevard WARABROOK NSW 2304 EF17/14698 SEAR 1194

Dear Mr Crick

Waste Management Facility (Asbestos Repository) Wolgan Road, Lidsdale (Lot 5 in DP 829137) Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEAR) 1194

Thank you for your request for the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the above development proposal. I have attached a copy of these requirements.

In support of your application, you indicated that your proposal is both designated and integrated development under Part 4 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* and may require an amendment to the site's existing Environmental Protection Licence under the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997*. In preparing the SEARs, the Department has consulted with the Environment Protection Authority. A copy of their requirements is attached.

The Department has also consulted with the Office of Environment and Heritage, the Department of Primary Industries and WaterNSW. A copy of their additional requirements for the EIS are attached.

If other integrated approvals are identified before the Development Application (DA) is lodged, you must undertake direct consultation with the relevant agencies, and address their requirements in the EIS.

If your proposal contains any actions that could have a significant impact on matters of National Environmental Significance, then it will require an additional approval under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). This approval is in addition to any approvals required under NSW legislation. If you have any questions about the application of the EPBC Act to your proposal, you should contact the Commonwealth Department of the Environment and Energy on (02) 6274 1111.

Should you have any further enquiries, please contact Patrick Copas, Planning Services, at the Department on the details above.

Yours sincerely

Kelly McNicol Acting Director Industry Assessments as delegate of the Secretary

Environmental Assessment Requirements

Section 78A (8) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

Designated Development

| SEAR Number | 1194 |
|-------------------------|---|
| Proposal | Construction of an asbestos repository capable of accommodating up to 7,000 m ³ of asbestos-containing material and insulation generated by the demolition of the Wallerawang Power Station |
| Location | Wolgan Road, Lidsdale (Lot 5 in DP 829137), in the Lithgow local government area. |
| Applicant | EnergyAustralia NSW |
| Date of Issue | 18 January 2018 |
| General Requirements | The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must meet the minimum form and content requirements in clauses 6 and 7 of Schedule 2 of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000.</i> |
| Key Issues | The EIS must include an assessment of all potential impacts of the proposed development on the existing environment (including cumulative impacts if necessary) and develop appropriate measures to avoid, minimise, mitigate and/or manage these potential impacts. As part of the EIS assessment, the following matters must also be addressed: strategic context – including: a detailed justification for the proposal, including an option analysis and suitability of the site for the development; a demonstration that the proposal is consistent with all relevant planning strategies, environmental planning instruments, development control plans (DCPs), or justification for any inconsistencies; a list of any approvals that must be obtained under any other Act or law before the development may lawfully be carried out; and a description of any additional licence(s) or approval(s) required to carry out the proposed development. repository design – including: a geotechnical investigation demonstrating the site's suitability; detailed justification of the preferred treatment option; a consideration dnay potential material incompatibilities that may occur within the repository; and details of the long-term management and monitoring arrangements for the repository. waste management – including: details of waste handling including, transport, identification, receipt, stockpiling and quality control; comprehensive details of asbestos handling, transport, identification, stockpiling and quality control; details of the resource outputs and any additional processes for residual waste; and the measures that would be implemented to ensure that the proposed development is consistent with the aims, objectives and guidelines in the <i>NSW Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Strategy 2014-21</i>. |

| • | air quality – including: |
|---|--|
| | a description of all potential sources of air emissions; |
| | - an air quality impact assessment in accordance with relevant Environment |
| | Protection Authority Guidelines; and |
| | - a description and appraisal of air quality impact mitigation and monitoring |
| | measures. |
| • | hazards and risk – including: |
| | - the Environmental impact Statement must include a preliminary lisk |
| | Policy No. 33 – Hazardous and Offensive Development and Applying SEPP |
| | 33 (DoP. 2011), with a clear indication of class, quantity and location of all |
| | dangerous goods and hazardous materials associated with the |
| | development. Should preliminary screening indicate that the project is |
| | "potentially hazardous" a Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) must be |
| | prepared in accordance with Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper |
| | No. 6 - Guidelines for Hazard Analysis (DoP, 2011) and Multi-Level Risk |
| | Assessment (DOP, 2011), and |
| | appropriate design considerations to address this |
| • | noise and vibration – including: |
| | a description of all potential noise and vibration sources during construction |
| | and operation, including road traffic noise; |
| | - a noise and vibration assessment in accordance with the relevant |
| | Environment Protection Authority guidelines; and |
| | measures. |
| • | soil and water – including: |
| | - a description of local soils, topography, drainage and landscapes; |
| | - characterisation of the nature and extent of any contamination on the site |
| | and surrounding area; |
| | a detailed site water balance; an assessment of potential impacts on the quality and quantity of surface. |
| | and groundwater resources: |
| | - details of the proposed stormwater and wastewater management systems, |
| | water monitoring program and other measures to mitigate surface water, |
| | groundwater, sediment and erosion impacts; |
| | - an explanation of how a neutral or beneficial effect on water quality would |
| | development. |
| | details of how surface water would be managed during the disposal of |
| | asbestos material; |
| | - details of water usage for the proposal including existing and proposed |
| | water licencing requirements in accordance with the Water Act 1912 and/or |
| | une water wanagement ACT 2000; |
| | environmental management plan in accordance with the relevant |
| | WaterNSW guidelines; and |
| | - a description and appraisal of impact mitigation and monitoring measures. |
| • | traffic and transport – including: |
| | details of road transport routes and access throughout the site; road, traffic, predictions, for the development, during, construction, and |
| | - road tranic predictions for the development during construction and |
| | - an assessment of impacts to the safety and function of the internal and |
| | external road network, and the details of any road upgrades required for the |
| | development. |
| • | biodiversity – including a description of any potential vegetation clearing |
| | needed to undertake the proposal and any impacts to flora and fauna. |
| | visual – including an impact assessment at private receptors and public vantage points. |
| • | heritage – including Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal cultural heritage. |
| 1 | |

| Environmental Planning Instruments and other policies | The EIS must assess the proposal against the relevant environmental planning instruments, including but not limited to: State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007; State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011; State Environmental Planning Policy No 33–Hazardous and Offensive Development; State Environmental Planning Policy No 55–Remediation of Land; Lithgow Local Environmental Plan 2014; and relevant development control plans and section 94 plans. |
|--|---|
| Guidelines | During the preparation of the EIS you should consult the Department's Register of Development Assessment Guidelines which is available on the Department's website at <u>planning.nsw.gov.au</u> under Development Proposals/Register of Development Assessment Guidelines. Whilst not exhaustive, this Register contains some of the guidelines, policies, and plans that must be taken into account in the environmental assessment of the proposed development. |
| Consultation | During the preparation of the EIS, you must consult the relevant local, State and Commonwealth government authorities, service providers and community groups, and address any issues they may raise in the EIS. In particular, you should consult with the: Environment Protection Authority; Office of Environment and Heritage; Department of Primary Industries; Department of Primary Industries – Crown Lands; WorkCover; WaterNSW; Rural Fire Service; Lithgow City Council; Western Community Reference Group; and the surrounding landowners and occupiers that are likely to be impacted by the proposal. Details of the consultation carried out and issues raised must be included in the EIS. |
| Further consultation after 2 years | If you do not lodge an application under Section 78A (8) of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979</i> within 2 years of the issue date of these SEARs, you must consult with the Secretary in relation to any further requirements for lodgement. |



Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Attention: Mr Patrick Copas

File Number:SF18/3805Notice Number1560861Date17-Jan-2018

RE: "Proposal to construct an asbestos repository at Wallerawang Power Station"

I refer to your request for the Environment Protection Authority's (EPA) requirements for the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in relation to the above proposal (SEAR 1194) received by EPA on 19 December 2017.

The EPA has considered the details of the proposal as provided by Department of Planning and Environment and has identified the information it requires to issue its general terms of approval in Attachment A. In summary, the EPA's key information requirements for the proposal include an adequate assessment of:

- 1. The management, and disposal of waste at the premises
- 2. Impacts on air quality
- 3. Impacts on water quality and site water management
- 4. Potential noise impacts during the operation; and

The proponent should ensure that the EIS is sufficiently comprehensive to enable the EPA to determine the extent of the impacts of the proposal.

In carrying out the assessment, the proponent should refer to the relevant guidelines as listed in Attachment B and any relevant industry codes of practice and best practice management guidelines.

Please note that this response does not cover biodiversity or Aboriginal cultural heritage issues, which are the responsibility of the Office of Environment and Heritage.

The Proponent should be made aware that any commitments made in the EIS may be formalised as approval conditions and may also be placed as formal licence conditions.

The Proponent should be made aware that, consistent with provisions under Part 9.4 of the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* ("the Act") the EPA may require the provision of a financial assurance and/or assurances. The amount and form of the assurance(s) would be determined by the EPA and required as a condition of an Environment Protection Licence ("EPL").



In addition, as a requirement of an EPL, the EPA will require the Proponent to prepare, test and implement a Pollution Incident Response Management Plan and/or Plans in accordance with Section 153A of the Act.

If you have any further enquiries in relation to this matter please do not hesitate to contact me at the Central West (Bathurst) Office of the EPA by telephoning (02) 6332 7602.

Yours sincerely

Darryl Clift Unit Head Central West (by Delegation)



ATTACHMENT A: EIS REQUIREMENTS FOR

Proposal to construct an asbestos repository at Wallerawang Power Station

How to use these requirements

The EPA requirements have been structured in accordance with the DPE EIS Guidelines, as follows. It is suggested that the EIS follow the same structure:

- A. Executive summary
- B. The proposal
- C. The location
- D. Identification and prioritisation of issues
- E. The environmental issues
- F. List of approvals and licences
- G. Compilation of mitigation measures
- H. Justification for the proposal



A Executive summary

The executive summary should include a brief discussion of the extent to which the proposal achieves identified environmental outcomes.

B The proposal

1. Objectives of the proposal

- The objectives of the proposal should be clearly stated and refer to:
 - a) the size and type of the operation, the nature of the processes and the products, by-products and wastes produced
 - b) a life cycle approach to the production, use or disposal of products
 - c) the anticipated level of performance in meeting required environmental standards and cleaner production principles
 - d) the staging and timing of the proposal and any plans for future expansion
 - e) the proposal's relationship to any other industry or facility.

2. Description of the proposal

General

- Outline the production process including:
 - a) the environmental "mass balance" for the process quantify in-flow and out-flow of materials, any points of discharge to the environment and their respective destinations (sewer, stormwater, atmosphere, recycling, landfill etc).
 - b) any life-cycle strategies for the products.
- Outline cleaner production actions, including:
 - a) measures to minimise waste (typically through addressing source reduction)
 - b) proposals for use or recycling of by-products
 - c) proposed disposal methods for solid and liquid waste
 - d) air management systems including all potential sources of air emissions, proposals to re-use or treat emissions, emission levels relative to relevant standards in regulations, discharge points.
 - e) water management system including all potential sources of water pollution, proposals for re-use, treatment etc, emission levels of any wastewater discharged, discharge points, summary of options explored to avoid a discharge, reduce its frequency or reduce its impacts, and rationale for selection of option to discharge.
 - f) soil contamination treatment and prevention systems.
- Outline construction works including:



- a) actions to address any existing soil contamination
- b) any earthworks or site clearing; re-use and disposal of cleared material (including use of spoil on-site)
- c) construction timetable and staging; hours of construction; proposed construction methods
- d) environment protection measures, including noise mitigation measures, dust control measures and erosion and sediment control measures.
- Include a site diagram showing the site layout and location of environmental controls.

Air

- Identify all sources or potential sources of air emissions from the development.
 Note: emissions can be classed as either:
 - point (e.g. emissions from stack or vent) or
 - fugitive (from wind erosion, leakages or spillages, associated with loading or unloading, conveyors, storage facilities, plant and yard operation, vehicle movements (dust from road, exhausts, loss from load), land clearing and construction works).
- Provide details of the project that are essential for predicting and assessing air impacts including:
 - a) the quantities and physio-chemical parameters (e.g. concentration, moisture content, bulk density, particle sizes etc) of materials to be used, transported, produced or stored
 - b) an outline of procedures for handling, transport, production and storage
 - c) the management of solid, liquid and gaseous waste streams with potential to generate emissions to air.

Noise and vibration

- Identify all noise sources or potential sources from the development (including both construction and operation phases). Detail all potentially noisy activities including ancillary activities such as transport of goods and raw materials.
- Specify the times of operation for all phases of the development and for all noise producing activities.
- For projects with a significant potential traffic noise impact, provide details of road alignment (include gradients, road surface, topography, bridges, culverts etc), and land use along the proposed road and measurement locations – diagrams should be to a scale sufficient to delineate individual residential blocks.

Water

- Provide details of the project that are essential for predicting and assessing impacts to waters including:
 - a) the quantity and physio-chemical properties of all potential water pollutants and the risks they pose to the environment and human health, including the risks they pose to Water Quality Objectives in the ambient waters (as defined on <u>http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/ieo/index.htm</u>, using technical criteria derived from *the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality*, ANZECC 2000)
 - b) the management of discharges with potential for water impacts



- c) drainage works and associated infrastructure; land-forming and excavations; working capacity of structures; and water resource requirements of the proposal.
- Outline site layout, demonstrating efforts to avoid proximity to water resources (especially for activities with significant potential impacts e.g. effluent ponds) and showing potential areas of modification of contours, drainage etc.
- Outline how total water cycle considerations are to be addressed showing total water balances for the development (with the objective of minimising demands and impacts on water resources). Include water requirements (quantity, quality and source(s)) and proposed storm and wastewater disposal, including type, volumes, proposed treatment and management methods and re-use options.

Waste and chemicals

Provide details of the quantity and type of both liquid waste and non-liquid waste generated, handled, processed or disposed of at the premises. Waste must be classified according to the EPA's *Waste Classification Guidelines 2014 (as amended from time to time)*

- Provide details of liquid waste and non-liquid waste management at the facility, including:
 - a) the transportation, assessment and handling of waste arriving at or generated at the site
 - b) any stockpiling of wastes or recovered materials at the site
 - c) any waste processing related to the facility, including reuse, recycling, reprocessing (including composting) or treatment both on- and off-site
 - d) the method for disposing of all wastes or recovered materials at the facility
 - e) the emissions arising from the handling, storage, processing and reprocessing of waste at the facility
 - f) the proposed controls for managing the environmental impacts of these activities.
- Provide details of procedures for the assessment, handling, storage, transport and disposal of all hazardous and dangerous materials used, stored, processed or disposed of at the site, in addition to the requirements for liquid and non-liquid wastes.
- Provide details of the type and quantity of any chemical substances to be used or stored and describe arrangements for their safe use and storage.
- Reference should be made to the guidelines: EPA's Waste Classification Guidelines 2014 (as amended from time to time)

ESD

- Demonstrate that the planning process and any subsequent development incorporates objectives and mechanisms for achieving ESD, including:
 - a) an assessment of a range of options available for use of the resource, including the benefits of each option to future generations

proper valuation and pricing of environmental resources

b) identification of who will bear the environmental costs of the proposal.



3. Rehabilitation

• Outline considerations of site maintenance, and proposed plans for the final condition of the site (ensuring its suitability for future uses).

4. Consideration of alternatives and justification for the proposal

- Consider the environmental consequences of adopting alternatives, including alternative:
 - a) sites and site layouts
 - b) access modes and routes
 - c) materials handling and production processes
 - d) waste and water management
 - e) impact mitigation measures
 - f) energy sources
- Selection of the preferred option should be justified in terms of:
 - a) ability to satisfy the objectives of the proposal
 - b) relative environmental and other costs of each alternative
 - c) acceptability of environmental impacts and contribution to identified environmental objectives
 - d) acceptability of any environmental risks or uncertainties
 - e) reliability of proposed environmental impact mitigation measures
 - f) efficient use (including maximising re-use) of land, raw materials, energy and other resources.

C The location

1. General

- Provide an overview of the affected environment to place the proposal in its local and regional environmental context including:
 - a) meteorological data (e.g. rainfall, temperature and evaporation, wind speed and direction)
 - b) topography (landform element, slope type, gradient and length)
 - c) surrounding land uses (potential synergies and conflicts)
 - d) geomorphology (rates of landform change and current erosion and deposition processes)
 - e) soil types and properties (including erodibility; engineering and structural properties; dispersibility; permeability; presence of acid sulfate soils and potential acid sulfate soils)
 - f) ecological information (water system habitat, vegetation, fauna)



2. Air, Noise and vibration

- Identify any sensitive locations likely to be affected by activities at the site, such as residential
 properties, schools, churches, and hospitals. Typically the location of any sensitive locations in relation
 to the site should be included on a map of the locality.
- Identify the land use zoning of the site and the immediate vicinity and the potentially affected areas.

3. Water

Describe the catchment including proximity of the development to any waterways and provide an
assessment of their sensitivity/significance from a public health, ecological and/or economic
perspective. The Water Quality and River Flow Objectives on the website:
http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/ieo/index.htm should be used to identify the agreed environmental
values and human uses for any affected waterways. This will help with the description of the local and
regional area.

4. Soil Contamination Issues

Provide details of site history – if earthworks are proposed, this needs to be considered with regard to
possible soil contamination, for example if the site was previously a landfill site or if irrigation of effluent
has occurred.

D Identification and prioritisation of issues / scoping of impact assessment

- Provide an overview of the methodology used to identify and prioritise issues. The methodology should take into account:
 - a) relevant NSW government guidelines
 - b) industry guidelines
 - c) EIS's for similar projects
 - d) relevant research and reference material
 - e) relevant preliminary studies or reports for the proposal
 - f) consultation with stakeholders.
- Provide a summary of the outcomes of the process including:
 - a) all issues identified including local and regional impacts
 - b) key issues which will require a full analysis (including comprehensive baseline assessment)
 - c) issues not needing full analysis though they may be addressed in the mitigation strategy
 - d) justification for the level of analysis proposed (the capacity of the proposal to give rise to high concentrations of pollution compared with the ambient environment or environmental outcomes is an important factor in setting the level of assessment).



E The environmental issues

1. General

- The potential impacts identified in the scoping study need to be assessed to determine their significance, particularly in terms of achieving environmental outcomes, and minimising environmental pollution.
- Identify gaps in information and data relevant to significant impacts of the proposal and any actions
 proposed to fill those information gaps so as to enable development of appropriate management and
 mitigation measures. This is in accordance with ESD requirements.

Note: The level of detail should match the level of importance of the issue in decision making which is dependent on the environmental risk.

Describe baseline conditions

Provide a description of existing environmental conditions for any potential impacts.

Assess impacts

- For any potential impacts relevant for the assessment of the proposal provide a detailed analysis of the impacts of the proposal on the environment including the cumulative impact of the proposal on the receiving environment especially where there are sensitive receivers.
- Describe the methodology used and assumptions made in undertaking this analysis (including any
 modelling or monitoring undertaken) and indicate the level of confidence in the predicted outcomes and
 the resilience of the environment to cope with the predicted impacts.
- The analysis should also make linkages between different areas of assessment where necessary to
 enable a full assessment of environmental impacts e.g. assessment of impacts on air quality will often
 need to draw on the analysis of traffic, health, social, soil and/or ecological systems impacts; etc.
- The assessment needs to consider impacts at all phases of the project cycle including: exploration (if relevant or significant), construction, routine operation, start-up operations, upset operations and decommissioning if relevant.
- The level of assessment should be commensurate with the risk to the environment.

Describe management and mitigation measures

- Describe any mitigation measures and management options proposed to prevent, control, abate or mitigate identified environmental impacts associated with the proposal and to reduce risks to human health and prevent the degradation of the environment. This should include an assessment of the effectiveness and reliability of the measures and any residual impacts after these measures are implemented.
- Proponents are expected to implement a 'reasonable level of performance' to minimise environmental impacts. The proponent must indicate how the proposal meets reasonable levels of performance. For example, reference technology based criteria if available, or identify good practice for this type of activity or development. A 'reasonable level of performance' involves adopting and implementing technology and management practices to achieve certain pollutant emissions levels in economically



viable operations. Technology-based criteria evolve gradually over time as technologies and practices change.

- Use environmental impacts as key criteria in selecting between alternative sites, designs and technologies, and to avoid options having the highest environmental impacts.
- Outline any proposed approach (such as an Environmental Management Plan) that will demonstrate how commitments made in the EIS will be implemented. Areas that should be described include:
 - a) operational procedures to manage environmental impacts
 - b) monitoring procedures
 - c) training programs
 - d) community consultation
 - e) complaint mechanisms including site contacts
 - f) strategies to use monitoring information to improve performance
 - g) strategies to achieve acceptable environmental impacts and to respond in event of exceedences.

4. Air

Describe baseline conditions

Provide a description of existing air quality and meteorology, using existing information and site representative ambient monitoring data.

Assess impacts

- Identify all pollutants of concern and estimate emissions by quantity (and size for particles), source and discharge point.
- Estimate the resulting ground level concentrations of all pollutants. Where necessary (e.g. potentially significant impacts and complex terrain effects), use an appropriate dispersion model to estimate ambient pollutant concentrations. Discuss choice of model and parameters with the EPA.
- Describe the effects and significance of pollutant concentration on the environment, human health, amenity and regional ambient air quality standards or goals.
- Describe the contribution that the development will make to regional pollution, particularly in sensitive locations.
- For potentially odorous emissions provide the emission rates in terms of odour units (determined by techniques compatible with EPA procedures). Use sampling and analysis techniques for individual or complex odours and for point or diffuse sources, as appropriate.

Note: With dust and odour, it may be possible to use data from existing similar activities to generate emission rates.

- Reference should be made to relevant guidelines such as;
 - Approved Methods for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in NSW (DEC, 2016);



- Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in NSW (DEC, 2007); Assessment and Management of Odour from Stationary Sources in NSW (DEC, 2006);
- Technical Notes: Assessment and Management of Odour from Stationary Sources in NSW (DEC, 2006);
- Load Calculation Protocol for use by holders of NSW Environment Protection Licences when calculating Assessable Pollutant Loads (DECC, 2009).

as appropriate.

Describe management and mitigation measures

• Outline specifications of pollution control equipment and management protocols for both point and fugitive emissions. Where possible, this should include cleaner production processes.

5. Human Health Risk Assessment

- A human health risk assessment must be undertaken in conjunction with the air quality and odour impact assessment.
- The human health risk assessment must be undertaken in accordance with *Environmental Health Risk Assessment: Guidelines for assessing human health risks from environmental hazards* (enHealth) and must include:
 - the inhalation of criteria pollutants and exposure from all pathways i.e., inhalation, ingestion and dermal to specific air toxics; and
 - a demonstration of how the asbestos waste repository would be operated in accordance with best
 practice measures to manage air emissions with consideration of the Environment Protection
 Authority's NSW Energy from Waste Policy Statement.

6. Noise and vibration

Describe baseline conditions

- Determine the existing background (LA90) and ambient (LAeq) noise levels in accordance with the *NSW Noise Policy for Industry*.
- Determine the existing road traffic noise levels in accordance with the NSW Environmental Criteria for Road Traffic Noise, where road traffic noise impacts may occur.
- The noise impact assessment report should provide details of all monitoring of existing ambient noise levels including:
 - a) details of equipment used for the measurements
 - b) a brief description of where the equipment was positioned
 - c) a statement justifying the choice of monitoring site, including the procedure used to choose the site, having regards to the definition of 'noise sensitive locations(s)' and 'most affected locations(s)' described in the NSW Noise Policy for Industry
 - d) details of the exact location of the monitoring site and a description of land uses in surrounding areas
 - e) a description of the dominant and background noise sources at the site



- f) day, evening and night assessment background levels for each day of the monitoring period
- g) the final Rating Background Level (RBL) value
- h) graphs of the measured noise levels for each day should be provided
- a record of periods of affected data (due to adverse weather and extraneous noise), methods used to exclude invalid data and a statement indicating the need for any re-monitoring under the NSW Noise Policy for Industry
- j) determination of LAeq noise levels from existing industry.

Assess impacts

- Determine the project specific noise levels for the site. For each identified potentially affected receiver, this should include:
 - a) determination of the intrusive criterion for each identified potentially affected receiver
 - b) selection and justification of the appropriate amenity category for each identified potentially affected receiver
 - c) determination of the amenity criterion for each receiver
 - d) determination of the appropriate sleep disturbance limit.
- Maximum noise levels during night-time period (10pm-7am) should be assessed to analyse possible affects on sleep. Where LA1(1min) noise levels from the site are less than 15 dB above the background LA90 noise level, sleep disturbance impacts are unlikely. Where this is not the case, further analysis is required. Additional guidance is provided in the NSW Environmental Criteria for Road Traffic Noise.
- Determine expected noise level and noise character (e.g. tonality, impulsiveness, vibration, etc) likely to be generated from noise sources during:
 - a) site establishment
 - b) construction
 - c) operational phases
 - d) transport including traffic noise generated by the proposal
 - e) other services.
 - Note: The noise impact assessment report should include noise source data for each source in 1/1 or 1/3 octave band frequencies including methods for references used to determine noise source levels. Noise source levels and characteristics can be sourced from direct measurement of similar activities or from literature (if full references are provided).
- Determine the noise levels likely to be received at the most sensitive locations (these may vary for different activities at each phase of the development). Potential impacts should be determined for any identified significant adverse meteorological conditions. Predicted noise levels under calm conditions may also aid in quantifying the extent of impact where this is not the most adverse condition.
- The noise impact assessment report should include:
 - a) a plan showing the assumed location of each noise source for each prediction scenario
 - b) a list of the number and type of noise sources used in each prediction scenario to simulate all potential significant operating conditions on the site



- c) any assumptions made in the predictions in terms of source heights, directivity effects, shielding from topography, buildings or barriers, etc
- d) methods used to predict noise impacts including identification of any noise models used. Where modelling approaches other than the use of the ENM or SoundPlan computer models are adopted, the approach should be appropriately justified and validated.
- e) an assessment of appropriate weather conditions for the noise predictions including reference to any weather data used to justify the assumed conditions.
- f) the predicted noise impacts from each noise source as well as the combined noise level for each prediction scenario under any identified significant adverse weather conditions as well as calm conditions where appropriate.
- g) for developments where a significant level of noise impact is likely to occur, noise contours for the key prediction scenarios should be derived.
- h) an assessment of the need to include modification factors as detailed in Section 4 of the NSW Noise Policy for Industry.
- Discuss the findings from the predictive modelling and, where relevant noise criteria have not been met, recommend additional mitigation measures.
- The noise impact assessment report should include details of any mitigation proposed including the
 attenuation that will be achieved and the revised noise impact predictions following mitigation.
- Where relevant noise/vibration criteria cannot be met after application of all feasible and cost effective
 mitigation measures the residual level of noise impact needs to be quantified by identifying:
 - a) locations where the noise level exceeds the criteria and extent of exceedence,
 - b) numbers of people (or areas) affected,
 - c) times when criteria will be exceeded,
 - d) likely impact on activities (speech, sleep, relaxation, listening, etc),
 - e) change on ambient conditions,
 - f) the result of any community consultation or negotiated agreement.
- For the assessment of existing and future traffic noise, details of data for the road should be included such as assumed traffic volume; percentage heavy vehicles by time of day; and details of the calculation process. These details should be consistent with any traffic study carried out in the EIS.
- Where blasting is intended an assessment in accordance with the Technical Basis for Guidelines to Minimise Annoyance due to Blasting Overpressure and Ground Vibration (ANZECC, 1990) should be undertaken. The following details of the blast design should be included in the noise assessment:
 - a) bench height, burden spacing, spacing burden ratio
 - b) blast hole diameter, inclination and spacing
 - c) type of explosive, maximum instantaneous charge, initiation, blast block size, blast frequency.

Describe management and mitigation measures

 Determine the most appropriate noise mitigation measures and expected noise reduction including both noise controls and management of impacts for both construction and operational noise. This will include selecting quiet equipment and construction methods, noise barriers or acoustic screens, location of stockpiles, temporary offices, compounds and vehicle routes, scheduling of activities, etc.



- For traffic noise impacts, provide a description of the ameliorative measures considered (if required), reasons for inclusion or exclusion, and procedures for calculation of noise levels including ameliorative measures. Also include, where necessary, a discussion of any potential problems associated with the proposed ameliorative measures, such as overshadowing effects from barriers. Appropriate ameliorative measures may include:
 - a) use of alternative transportation modes, alternative routes, or other methods of avoiding the new road usage
 - b) control of traffic (eg: limiting times of access or speed limitations)
 - c) resurfacing of the road using a quiet surface
 - d) use of (additional) noise barriers or bunds
 - e) treatment of the façade to reduce internal noise levels buildings where the night-time criteria is a major concern
 - f) more stringent limits for noise emission from vehicles (i.e. using specially designed 'quite' trucks and/or trucks to use air bag suspension
 - g) driver education
 - h) appropriate truck routes
 - i) limit usage of exhaust breaks
 - j) use of premium muffles on trucks
 - k) reducing speed limits for trucks
 - I) ongoing community liaison and monitoring of complaints
 - m) phasing in the increased road use.

4. Water

Describe baseline conditions

- Describe existing surface and groundwater quality an assessment needs to be undertaken for any
 water resource likely to be affected by the proposal and for all conditions (e.g. a wet weather sampling
 program is needed if runoff events may cause impacts).
 - Note: Methods of sampling and analysis need to conform with an accepted standard (e.g. Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Water Pollutants in NSW (DEC 2004) or be approved and analyses undertaken by accredited laboratories).
- Provide site drainage details and surface runoff yield.
- State the ambient Water Quality and River Flow Objectives for the receiving waters. These refer to the community's agreed environmental values and human uses endorsed by the Government as goals for the ambient waters. These environmental values are published on the website:
 <u>http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/ieo/index.htm</u>. The EIS should state the environmental values listed for the catchment and waterway type relevant to your proposal. NB: A consolidated and approved list of environmental values are not available for groundwater resources. Where groundwater may be affected the EIS should identify appropriate groundwater environmental values and justify the choice.
- State the indicators and associated trigger values or criteria for the identified environmental values.
 This information should be sourced from the ANZECC 2000 Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water



Quality (<u>http://www.environment.gov.au/water/publications/quality/nwqms-guidelines-4-vol1.html</u>) (Note that, as at 2004, the NSW Water Quality Objectives booklets and website contain technical criteria derived from the 1992 version of the ANZECC Guidelines. The Water Quality Objectives remain as Government Policy, reflecting the community's environmental values and long-term goals, but the technical criteria are replaced by the more recent ANZECC 2000 Guidelines). NB: While specific guidelines for groundwater are not available, the ANCECC 2000 Guidelines endorse the application of the trigger values and decision trees as a tool to assess risk to environmental values in groundwater.

- State any locally specific objectives, criteria or targets, which have been endorsed by the government e.g. the Healthy Rivers Commission Inquiries or the NSW Salinity Strategy (DLWC, 2000) (<u>http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/salinity/government/nswstrategy.htm</u>).
- Where site specific studies are proposed to revise the trigger values supporting the ambient Water Quality and River Flow Objectives, and the results are to be used for regulatory purposes (e.g. to assess whether a licensed discharge impacts on water quality objectives), then prior agreement from the EPA on the approach and study design must be obtained.
- Describe the state of the receiving waters and relate this to the relevant Water Quality and River Flow Objectives (i.e. are Water Quality and River Flow Objectives being achieved?). Proponents are generally only expected to source available data and information. However, proponents of large or high risk developments may be required to collect some ambient water quality / river flow / groundwater data to enable a suitable level of impact assessment. Issues to include in the description of the receiving waters could include:
 - a) lake or estuary flushing characteristics
 - b) specific human uses (e.g. exact location of drinking water off-take)
 - c) sensitive ecosystems or species conservation values
 - d) a description of the condition of the local catchment e.g. erosion levels, soils, vegetation cover, etc
 - e) an outline of baseline groundwater information, including, but not restricted to, depth to watertable, flow direction and gradient, groundwater quality, reliance on groundwater by surrounding users and by the environment
 - f) historic river flow data where available for the catchment.

Assess impacts

- No proposal should breach clause 120 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 (i.e. pollution of waters is prohibited unless undertaken in accordance with relevant regulations).
- Identify and estimate the quantity of all pollutants that may be introduced into the water cycle by source and discharge point including residual discharges after mitigation measures are implemented.
- Include a rationale, along with relevant calculations, supporting the prediction of the discharges.
- Describe the effects and significance of any pollutant loads on the receiving environment. This should
 include impacts of residual discharges through modelling, monitoring or both, depending on the scale of
 the proposal. Determine changes to hydrology (including drainage patterns, surface runoff yield, flow
 regimes, wetland hydrologic regimes and groundwater).
- Describe water quality impacts resulting from changes to hydrologic flow regimes (such as nutrient enrichment or turbidity resulting from changes in frequency and magnitude of stream flow).
- Identify any potential impacts on quality or quantity of groundwater describing their source.
- Identify potential impacts associated with geomorphological activities with potential to increase surface water and sediment runoff or to reduce surface runoff and sediment transport. Also consider possible



impacts such as bed lowering, bank lowering, instream siltation, floodplain erosion and floodplain siltation.

- · Identify impacts associated with the disturbance of acid sulfate soils and potential acid sulfate soils.
- Containment of spills and leaks shall be in accordance with EPA's guidelines section 'Bunding and Spill Management' at <u>http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/mao/bundingspill.htm</u> and the most recent versions of the Australian Standards referred to in the Guidelines. Containment should be designed for no-discharge.
- The significance of the impacts listed above should be predicted. When doing this it is important to predict the ambient water quality and river flow outcomes associated with the proposal and to demonstrate whether these are acceptable in terms of achieving protection of the Water Quality and River Flow Objectives. In particular the following questions should be answered:
 - a) will the proposal protect Water Quality and River Flow Objectives where they are currently achieved in the ambient waters; and
 - b) will the proposal contribute towards the achievement of Water Quality and River Flow Objectives over time, where they are not currently achieved in the ambient waters.
- Consult with the EPA as soon as possible if a mixing zone is proposed (a mixing zone could exist where
 effluent is discharged into a receiving water body, where the quality of the water being discharged does
 not immediately meet water quality objectives. The mixing zone could result in dilution, assimilation and
 decay of the effluent to allow water quality objectives to be met further downstream, at the edge of the
 mixing zone). The EPA will advise the proponent under what conditions a mixing zone will and will not
 be acceptable, as well as the information and modelling requirements for assessment.

Note: The assessment of water quality impacts needs to be undertaken in a total catchment management context to provide a wide perspective on development impacts, in particular cumulative impacts.

- Where a licensed discharge is proposed, provide the rationale as to why it cannot be avoided through application of a reasonable level of performance, using available technology, management practice and industry guidelines.
- Where a licensed discharge is proposed, provide the rationale as to why it represents the best environmental outcome and what measures can be taken to reduce its environmental impact.
- Reference should be made to relevant guidelines e.g. Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction (Landcom, 2004), Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality ANZECC 2000), Environmental Guidelines: Use of effluent by Irrigation (DEC, 2004).

Describe management and mitigation measures

- Outline stormwater management to control pollutants at the source and contain them within the site. Also describe measures for maintaining and monitoring any stormwater controls.
- Outline erosion and sediment control measures directed at minimising disturbance of land, minimising
 water flow through the site and filtering, trapping or detaining sediment. Also include measures to
 maintain and monitor controls as well as rehabilitation strategies.
- Describe waste water treatment measures that are appropriate to the type and volume of waste water and are based on a hierarchy of avoiding generation of waste water; capturing all contaminated water (including stormwater) on the site; reusing/recycling waste water; and treating any unavoidable discharge from the site to meet specified water quality requirements.



- Outline pollution control measures relating to storage of materials, possibility of accidental spills (e.g. preparation of contingency plans), appropriate disposal methods, and generation of leachate.
- Describe hydrological impact mitigation measures including:
 - a) site selection (avoiding sites prone to flooding and waterlogging, actively eroding or affected by deposition)
 - b) minimising runoff
 - c) minimising reductions or modifications to flow regimes
 - d) avoiding modifications to groundwater.
- Describe groundwater impact mitigation measures including:
 - a) site selection
 - b) retention of native vegetation and revegetation
 - c) artificial recharge
 - d) providing surface storages with impervious linings
 - e) monitoring program.
- Describe geomorphological impact mitigation measures including:
 - a) site selection
 - b) erosion and sediment controls
 - c) minimising instream works
 - d) treating existing accelerated erosion and deposition
 - e) monitoring program.
- Any proposed monitoring should be undertaken in accordance with the Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Water Pollutants in NSW (DEC 2004).

5. Soils and contamination

Describe baseline conditions

 Provide any details (in addition to those provided in the location description - Section C) that are needed to describe the existing situation in terms of soil types and properties and soil contamination.

Assess impacts

- Identify any likely impacts resulting from the construction or operation of the proposal, including the likelihood of:
 - a) disturbing any existing contaminated soil
 - b) contamination of soil by operation of the activity
 - c) subsidence or instability
 - d) soil erosion
 - e) disturbing acid sulfate or potential acid sulfate soils.



- Reference should be made to relevant guidelines e.g. Contaminated Sites Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites (OEH, 2011); Guidelines on the Duty to Report Contamination under the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 (EPA, 2015).
- The proponent should assess and quantify any soil and groundwater contamination at the site and prepare environmental site assessment report/s. The report should also demonstrate that the site is suitable for the proposed use. The processes outlined in *State Environmental Planning Policy 55 Remediation of Land (SEPP55)* be followed in order to assess the suitability of the land and any remediation required in relation to the proposed use.
- When assessing contamination at the site, the proponent should refer to contaminated site assessment guidance provide at Attachment B.

Describe management and mitigation measures

- Describe and assess the effectiveness or adequacy of any soil management and mitigation measures during construction and operation of the proposal including:
 - a) erosion and sediment control measures
 - b) proposals for site remediation see Managing Land Contamination, Planning Guidelines SEPP 55 Remediation of Land (Department of Urban Affairs and Planning and Environment Protection Authority, 1998).
 - c) It is recommended proponent prepare contingency plans for unexpected finds and for unacceptable risks that are detected or encountered.
 - d) It is recommended that an accredited site auditor be engaged to review the adequacy of all investigation, remediation and management plans and actions for the proposed development.
 - e) The proponent must ensure the proposed development does not result in a change of risk in relation to any pre-existing contamination on the site so as to result in significant contamination [note that this would render the proponent the 'person responsible' for the contamination under section 6(2) of CLM Act].
 - f) The EPA should be notified under section 60 of the CLM Act for any contamination identified which meets the triggers in the *Guidelines for the Duty to Report Contamination* (www.epa.nsw.gov.au/resources/clm/150164-report-land-contamination-guidelines.pdf)

6. Waste and chemicals

Describe baseline conditions

Describe any existing waste or chemicals operations related to the proposal.

Assess impacts

- Assess the adequacy of proposed measures to minimise natural resource consumption and minimise impacts from the handling, transporting, storage, processing and reprocessing of waste and/or chemicals.
- Reference should be made to: the EPA's *Waste Classification Guidelines 2014 (as in force from time to time)*



Describe management and mitigation measures

- Outline measures to minimise the consumption of natural resources.
- Outline measures to avoid the generation of waste and promote the re-use and recycling and reprocessing of any waste.
- Outline measures to support any approved regional or industry waste plans.

7. Cumulative impacts

- Identify the extent that the receiving environment is already stressed by existing development and background levels of emissions to which this proposal will contribute.
- Assess the impact of the proposal against the long term air, noise and water quality objectives for the area or region.
- Identify infrastructure requirements flowing from the proposal (e.g. water and sewerage services, transport infrastructure upgrades).
- Assess likely impacts from such additional infrastructure and measures reasonably available to the proponent to contain such requirements or mitigate their impacts (e.g. travel demand management strategies).

F. List of approvals and licences

 Identify all approvals and licences required under environment protection legislation including details of all scheduled activities, types of ancillary activities and types of discharges (to air, land, water).

G. Compilation of mitigation measures

- Outline how the proposal and its environmental protection measures would be implemented and managed in an integrated manner so as to demonstrate that the proposal is capable of complying with statutory obligations under EPA licences or approvals (e.g. outline of an environmental management plan).
- The mitigation strategy should include the environmental management and cleaner production
 principles which would be followed when planning, designing, establishing and operating the proposal. It
 should include two sections, one setting out the program for managing the proposal and the other
 outlining the monitoring program with a feedback loop to the management program.

outlining the monitoring program with a feedback loop to the management program.

H. Justification for the Proposal

Reasons should be included which justify undertaking the proposal in the manner proposed, having
regard to the potential environmental impacts.



ATTACHMENT B: GUIDANCE MATERIAL

| Title | Web address |
|--|---|
| | Relevant Legislation |
| Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 | http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/act/1997/140 |
| Environmentally Hazardous Chemicals Act 1985 | http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/act/1985/14 |
| Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 | http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/act/1979/203 |
| Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 | http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/act/1997/156 |
| Water Management Act 2000 | http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/act/2000/92 |
| | Licensing |
| Guide to Licensing | www.epa.nsw.gov.au/licensing/licenceguide.htm |
| | Air Issues |
| Air Quality | |
| Approved methods for modelling and assessment of air pollutants in NSW (2016) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/air/appmethods.htm |
| POEO (Clean Air) Regulation 2010 | http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/regulation/2010/428_ |
| | Noise and Vibration |
| Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/noise/constructnoise.htm |
| Assessing Vibration: a technical guideline (DEC, 2006) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/noise/vibrationguide.htm |
| Industrial Noise Policy Application Notes | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/noise/applicnotesindustnoise.htm |
| Environmental Criteria for Road Traffic Noise (EPA, 1999) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/resources/noise/roadnoise.pdf |
| Interim Guideline for the Assessment of Noise from Rail Infrastructure Projects (DECC, 2007) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/noise/railinfranoise.htm |
| Environmental assessment requirements for rail traffic-generating developments | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/noise/railnoise.htm |



| Human Health Risk Assessment | |
|--|---|
| Environmental Health Risk Assessment: Guidelines for assessing human health risks from environmental hazards (enHealth, 2012) | h |

http://www.eh.org.au/documents/item/916

Waste, Chemicals and Hazardous Materials and Radiation

| Waste | |
|--|--|
| Environmental Guidelines: Solid Waste Landfills (EPA, 2016) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/waste/landfill-sites.htm_ |
| Draft Environmental Guidelines - Industrial Waste Landfilling (April 1998) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/resources/waste/envguidIns/industrialfill .pdf |
| EPA's Waste Classification Guidelines 2014 | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/wasteregulation/classify-guidelines.h tm_ |
| Resource recovery orders and exemptions | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/wasteregulation/orders-exemptions.htm |
| European Union's Waste Incineration Directive 2000 | http://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/air/stationary/wid/legi slation.htm |
| EPA's Energy from Waste Policy Statement | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/wastestrategy/energy-from-waste.ht m |
| NSW Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Strategy 2014-2021 | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/wastestrategy/warr.htm |
| Chemicals subject to Chemical | |
| Chemical Control Orders (regulated through the EHC Act) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/pesticides/CCOs.htm |
| National Protocol - Approval/Licensing of Trials of Technologies for the Treatment/Disposal of Schedule X Wastes - July 1994 | Available in libraries |
| National Protocol for Approval/Licensing of Commercial Scale Facilities for the Treatment/Disposal of Schedule X Wastes - July 1994 | Available in libraries |
| | Water and Soils |
| Acid sulphate soils | |
| Coastal acid sulfate soils guidance material | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/acidsulfatesoil/ and http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/mao/acidsulfatesoils.htm |
| Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/acidsulfatesoil/riskmaps.htm |
| Contaminated Sites Assessment and Remediation | N N |



| Managing land contamination: Planning Guidelines – SEPP 55 Remediation of Land | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/clm/planning.htm |
|---|---|
| Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites (EPA, 2000) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/resources/clm/20110650consultantsglin es.pdf |
| Guidelines for the NSW Site Auditor Scheme - 2nd edition (DEC, 2006) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/resources/clm/auditorglines06121.pdf |
| Sampling Design Guidelines (EPA, 1995) | http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/resources/clm/95059sampgdlne.pdf |
| National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999 (or update) | http://www.scew.gov.au/nepms/assessment-site-contamination |
| Soils – general | |
| Managing land and soil | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/soils/landandsoil.htm |
| Managing urban stormwater for the protection of soils | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/stormwater/publications.htm |
| Landslide risk management guidelines | http://australiangeomechanics.org/admin/wp-content/uploads/2 010/11/LRM2000-Concepts.pdf |
| Site Investigations for Urban Salinity (DLWC, 2002) | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/salinity/booklet3sitei nvestigationsforurbansalinity.pdf |
| Local Government Salinity Initiative Booklets | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/salinity/solutions/urban.htm |
| Water | |
| Water Quality Objectives | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/ieo/index.htm |
| ANZECC (2000) Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality | http://www.environment.gov.au/water/publications/quality/nwqms-g uidelines-4-vol1.html |
| Applying Goals for Ambient Water Quality Guidance for Operations Officers – Mixing Zones | Contact the EPA on 131555 |
| Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Water Pollutant in NSW (2004) | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/legislation/approved methods-water.pdf |



PO Box 398, Parramatta NSW 2124 Level 4, 2-6 Station Street Parramatta NSW 2150 1300 722 468 www.waternsw.com.au ABN 21 147 934 787

Patrick Copas Planning Officer Industry Assessments NSW Department of Planning & Environment GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

 Contact:
 Miles Ellis

 Telephone:
 (02) 9865 2502

 Our ref:
 D2018/453

Dear Mr Copas

Wallerawang Power Station Waste Management Facility (Asbestos Repository) SEARs 1194

Thank you for your email received 19 December 2017 seeking WaterNSW's input into the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) on the proposed Waste Management Facility (Asbestos Repository) to accommodate waste from the demolition of the Wallerawang Power Station.

WaterNSW has reviewed the submitted documentation including the Asbestos Disposal Options Study prepared by Aurecon on behalf of EnergyAustralia NSW (Rev 2, dated 23 November 2017). WaterNSW requests the following be considered for input into the SEARs:

- As the development is located within the Sydney Drinking Water Catchment, clauses 9(1) and (2) and 10(1) of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011 are applicable. The EIS will need to specifically address these clauses. In particular, the EIS must describe with clarity and justify how the development would have a neutral or beneficial effect on water quality during all phases of the development (construction, operation and decommissioning).
- 2. The full description of the development and existing environment should also include those aspects which have the potential to impact on the quality and quantity of surface and ground waters at and adjacent to the site. This includes:
 - the landfill design and layout
 - the location and design of any water quality management and erosion & sediment control measures
 - a description and location of all on-site water sources, including but not limited to dust suppression
 - the location and description of all water monitoring locations/points (surface and ground waters)
 - a description and conceptual design of the final landform and rehabilitation measures of the landfill site.

3. A Conceptual Soil & Water Management Plan (for works) and Conceptual Operational Environmental Management Plan (for any water quality control measures) should be included in the EIS.

The development requires the concurrence of the Regulatory Authority pursuant to clause 11(1) of State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011. WaterNSW undertakes the concurrence role on behalf of the Regulatory Authority. Lithgow City Council will be required to refer the development application to WaterNSW seeking concurrence (as the development is not of a type which Council can assume the Regulatory Authority's concurrence). In deciding whether to grant concurrence WaterNSW will take into consideration the matters listed in clause 11(2) of the SEPP.

If you wish to discuss this letter or the project more generally please do not hesitate to contact Miles Ellis on 9865 2502.

Filed

MALCOLM HUGHES

3/1/18



DOC17/643644 SEAR 1194

> Mr Patrick Copas Planning Officer Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Mr Copas

Waste Management Facility (Asbestos Repository) (SEAR 1194) - Request for Environmental Assessment Requirements

Thank you for your email dated 21 December 2017 seeking the requirements of the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the above proposal.

<u>Please note</u> that for projects **not** defined as pending or interim planning applications under Part 7 or the *Biodiversity Conservation (Savings and Transitional) Regulation 2017* the Biodiversity Assessment Methodology (BAM) **must** be used to assess impacts to biodiversity in accordance with the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act). For this project the BAM must be used.

The background information provided indicates that the proposal is for the construction of a new asbestos repository to support the decommissioning and demolition of Wallerawang Power Station.

OEH has responsibilities under the:

- National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 (NP&W Act) namely the protection and care of Aboriginal objects and places, the protection and care of native flora and fauna and the protection and management of reserves; and the
- Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016.

OEH understands from the correspondence that the proposed activity is a Part 4 application pursuant to the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act), and has not been classified as State Significant Development.

The EP&A Act and *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000* require that the EIS should fully describe the proposal, the existing environment and impacts of the proposal. It is the responsibility of the proponent and consent authority to adequately consider the requirements under the EP&A Act and Regulation.

OEH can provide advice on the EIS where the EIS deals with impacts to biodiversity and Aboriginal cultural heritage. OEH may also comment on the legitimacy of the conclusions reached regarding the significance of impacts by the proposed development to these components of the environment.

PO Box 2111 Dubbo NSW 2830 Level 1, 48-52 Wingewarra Street Dubbo NSW 2830 Tel: (02) 6883 5330 Fax: (02) 6884 8675 ABN 30 841 387 271 www.environment.nsw.gov.au

OEH Requirements

In summary, the OEH's key information requirements for the proposal include an adequate assessment of:

- 1. Impacts on flora, fauna, threatened species, populations, communities and their habitats;
- 2. Impacts to Aboriginal cultural heritage objects.

This assessment should include consideration of direct and indirect impacts as a result of both construction and operation of the project. Assessment of any cumulative impacts of this and other developments in the area will be essential.

Flora, Fauna and Threatened Species

A copy of our Environmental Assessment Guidelines are included in **Attachments A and B**. These guidelines address requirements under the EP&A Act and the BC Act.

OEH is committed to the protection, appropriate management, and where necessary, rehabilitation of native vegetation. For these reasons, OEH considers that careful planning should precede any development that involves further vegetation clearance or other significant impact within areas of remnant vegetation.

Cultural Heritage

The importance of protecting Aboriginal Cultural Heritage is reflected in the provisions under Part 6 of the NP&W Act, as amended. That Act clearly establishes that Aboriginal objects and places are protected and may not be harmed, disturbed or desecrated without appropriate authorisation. Importantly, approvals under Parts 4 and 5 of the EP&A Act do not absolve the proponent of their obligations under the NP&W Act.

Under the NP&W Act, it is the responsibility of each individual proposing to conduct ground disturbance works to ensure that they have conducted a due diligence assessment to avoid harming Aboriginal objects by the proposed activity. OEH has produced a generic due diligence process, which is not mandatory to follow, however any alternative process followed must be able to demonstrate their process was reasonable and practicable in attempts to avoid harm to Aboriginal objects.

Consultation must also be in accordance with the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010* (DECCW 2010) as set by OEH if impact to cultural heritage is unavoidable.

Further advice regarding Aboriginal cultural heritage can be found on the OEH web-site at: <u>http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/licences/achregulation.htm.</u> and within guidance documents listed in Attachment B.

Should you require further information please contact Michelle Howarth, Conservation Planning Officer on (02) 6883 5339.

Yours sincerely

Samantha hlynn

SAMANTHA WYNN Senior Team Leader Planning North West Regional Operations Division

21 December 2017

Contact officer: MICHELLE HOWARTH 02 6883 5339

ATTACHMENT A

EIS Requirements for the proposed Waste Management Facility (Asbestos Repository)

1. Environmental impacts of the project

Impacts related to the following environmental issues need to be assessed, quantified and reported on:

- Aboriginal cultural heritage
- Biodiversity
- OEH Estate Land reserved or acquired under the NPW Act

The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) should address the specific requirements outlined under each heading below and assess impacts in accordance with the relevant guidelines mentioned. A full list of guidelines is at **Attachment B**.

2. Aboriginal cultural heritage

The EIS report should contain:

- a. A description of the Aboriginal objects and declared Aboriginal places located within the area of the proposed development.
- b. A description of the cultural heritage values, including the significance of the Aboriginal objects and declared Aboriginal places, that exist across the whole area that will be affected by the proposed development, and the significance of these values for the Aboriginal people who have a cultural association with the land.
- c. A description of how the requirements for consultation with Aboriginal people as specified in clause 80C of the *National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2009* have been met.
- d. The views of those Aboriginal people regarding the likely impact of the proposed development on their cultural heritage. If any submissions have been received as a part of the consultation requirements, then the report must include a copy of each submission and your response.
- e. A description of the actual or likely harm posed to the Aboriginal objects or declared Aboriginal places from the proposed activity, with reference to the cultural heritage values identified, and the need apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).
- f. A description of any practical measures that may be taken to protect and conserve those Aboriginal objects or declared Aboriginal places.
- g. A description of any practical measures that may be taken to avoid or mitigate any actual or likely harm, alternatives to harm or, if this is not possible, to manage (minimise) harm.
- h. A specific Statement of Commitment that the proponent will complete an Aboriginal Site Impact Recording Form and submit it to the Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) Registrar, for each AHIMS site that is harmed through the proposed development.

In addressing these requirements, the proponent must refer to the following documents:

- Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010 (DECCW, 2010)

 <u>http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/licences/consultation.htm.</u> This document further
 explains the consultation requirements that are set out in clause 80C of the National Parks
 and Wildlife Regulation 2009. The process set out in this document must be followed and
 documented in the Environmental Assessment Report.
- Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales (DECCW, 2010) http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/licences/archinvestigations.htm. The process described in this Code should be followed and documented where the assessment of Aboriginal cultural heritage requires an archaeological investigation to be undertaken.

Notes:

- i. An Aboriginal Site Impact Recording Form (<u>http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/licences/DECCAHIMSSiteRecordingForm.htm</u>) must be completed and submitted to the Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) Registrar, for each AHIMS site that is harmed through archaeological investigations required or permitted through these environmental assessment requirements.
- ii. Under section 89A of the NP&W Act, it is an offence for a person not to notify OEH of the location of any Aboriginal object the person becomes aware of, not already recorded on the Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS). An AHIMS Site Recording Form should be completed and submitted to the AHIMS Registrar (<u>http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/contact/AHIMSRegistrar.htm</u>), for each Aboriginal site found during investigations.

3. Biodiversity

Biodiversity impacts related to the proposed facility are to be assessed in accordance with the Biodiversity Assessment Method and documented in a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR). The BDAR must include information in the form detailed in the BC Act (s 6.12), *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017* (s 6.8) and Biodiversity Assessment Method including details of the measures proposed to address the offset obligation as follows;

- The total number and classes of biodiversity credits required to be retired for the development/project;
- The number and classes of like-for-like biodiversity credits proposed to be retired;
- The number and classes of biodiversity credits proposed to be retired in accordance with the variation rules;
- Any proposal to fund a biodiversity conservation action;
- Any proposal to conduct ecological rehabilitation (if a mining project);
- Any proposal to make a payment to the Biodiversity Conservation Fund (Fund).

If requesting the application of the variation rules, the BDAR must contain details of what reasonable steps have been taken to attempt to obtain the required like-for-like biodiversity credits.

The BDAR must be prepared by a person accredited in accordance with the Accreditation Scheme for the Application of the Biodiversity Assessment Method Order 2017 under S6.10 of the BC Act.

ATTACHMENT B

Guidance Material

| Title | Web Address |
|--|--|
| Commonwealth Environment Protection & Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 | http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/cth/consol_act/epabca1999588/ |
| Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 | http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/maintop/view/inforce/act+203+ 1979+cd+0+N |
| Fisheries Management Act 1994 | http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/maintop/view/inforce/act+38+1 994+cd+0+N |
| National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 | http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/maintop/view/inforce/act+80+1 974+cd+0+N |
| Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 | https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/~/view/act/2016/63 |
| Water Management Act 2000 | http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/maintop/view/inforce/act+92+2 000+cd+0+N |
| Aboriginal Cultural Heritage | |
| Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents (DECCW, 2010) | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/licences/consultation.htm |
| Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales (DECCW, 2010) | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/licences/archinvestigations.ht m |
| Due Diligence Code for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in NSW (DECCW 2010) | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/cultureheritage/dd cop/10798ddcop.pdf |
| Aboriginal Site Impact Recording Form | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/licences/DECCAHIMSSiteRe cordingForm.htm |
| Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) Registrar | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/contact/AHIMSRegistrar.htm |
| Biodiversity | |
| Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM) | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/biodiversity/assessmentmeth od.htm |
| Biodiversity Offset Scheme (BOS) | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/biodiversity/entryrequirement s.htm |
| BioBanking Assessment Methodology (OEH, 2014) ¹ | http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/biobanking/14066 1BBAM.pdf |

http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/biobanking/calculator.htm

Threatened Species Survey and Assessment Guidelines: Field Survey

BioBanking Assessment Methodology

and Credit Calculator Operational

Manual (DECCW, 2008)²

http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/threatenedspecies /09213amphibians.pdf

¹ This can only be applied to applications (accompanied by an EIS) that are submitted prior to 25 November 2017.

² This can only be applied to applications (accompanied by an EIS) that are submitted prior to 25 November 2017.

Methods for Fauna –Amphibians (DECCW, 2009)

Threatened Biodiversity Survey and Assessment: Guidelines for Developments and Activities – Working Draft (DEC, 2004)

Survey requirements (birds, bats, reptiles, frogs, fish and mammals) for species listed under the EPBC Act

OEH Threatened Species website

Atlas of NSW Wildlife

Vegetation Types databases

PlantNET

Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums

Threatened Species Assessment Guideline - The Assessment of Significance (DECCW, 2007)

Principles for the use of biodiversity offsets in NSW

http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/nature/TBSAGuid elinesDraft.pdf

http://www.environment.gov.au/topics/environment-protection/environment-assessments.

http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/threatenedspecies/

http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/wildlifeatlas/about.htm

http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/biobanking/vegtypedatabase. htm

http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au/

http://www.ozcam.org.au/

http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/threatenedspecies /tsaguide07393.pdf

http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/biodivoffsets/oehoffsetprincip .htm

Patrick Copas

| From: | daryl.lawrence@crownland.nsw.gov.au on behalf of Orange CrownLands <orange.crownlands@crownland.nsw.gov.au></orange.crownlands@crownland.nsw.gov.au> |
|--------------|---|
| Sent: | Friday, 22 December 2017 8:14 AM |
| То: | Patrick Copas |
| Cc: | Lands Ministerials; Bryson Lashbrook |
| Subject: | HPE CM: Request for Input - Waste Management Facility (Asbestos Repository) - 1 Main Street, Wallerawang, Lithgow LGA (Lot 5 in DP 829137) - SEAR 1194 |
| Attachments: | SEARs Investigation Report - Asbestos Repository Wallerawang, Lithgow LGA (Lot 5 in DP 829137) - SEAR 1194.DOCX |
| Categories: | Agency Response, SEAR Response |

Hello Patrick,

Please see below and attached response from Crown Lands.

The proposal is located on freehold land. No Crown Waterways are located within the proposal area. There is unlikely to be an impact to Crown Land from the proposed development.

Dol-Crown Lands has no comment on SEAR 1194 but requests to be referenced as adjoining landholder during assessment of the development proposal.

Regards, Daryl Lawrence Group Leader, Crown Lands Orange.

This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify the sender. Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of their organisation.